

Outline

Intro Comments & Overview

- P&I for Cryogenic Electronics
 - Superconducting resonators
 - Interconnects (Superconducting Flex Cables)
 - Connectors (Cable-to-Cable)
- Moving towards Quantum
 - Very brief intro to "quantum"
 - Challenges
 - Approaches
- Concluding Comments

2







Intro / Background

- In much of this work, where possible, we've used superconductors (SC):
 - Ultra-low (but not zero) loss below T_c @ microwave frequencies
 - (Surrounding) dielectric loss $(tan \delta)$ important, comparable to SC loss
 - Impedance matching similar to non-SC, but sometimes need to take kinetic inductance (L_k) into account
 - EM simulators (i.e., ADS, HFSS, Sonnet, etc.) with proper SC model
- Example trace density of SC cables*:
 - Single layer of single-ended stripline: 5 μ m thick PI, ~ 5 μ m wide traces, 5 μ m vias, 20 μ m space between traces and vias...pitch ~ 50 μ m => 200 single-ended / cm (of width) [< 10 nW for 4K-10mK]
 - Need sufficient grounding between signals to reduce crosstalk and allow impedance matching up to very high frequencies (> 100 GHz)
 - · Challenge to fan-out/break-out to (available) connectors
 - Working to scale to this level, expand to multi-layer, 2D break-out, etc.

* Not what will be shown today, just for motivating the topic







Outline	
 Intro Comments & Overview P&I for Cryogenic Electronics Superconducting resonators Interconnects (Superconducting Flex Cables) Connectors (Cable-to-Cable) 	
 Moving towards Quantum Very brief intro to "quantum" Challenges Approaches Concluding Comments 	
	10



Both are needed for microwave design of the SC flex cables based on these dielectrics.















Outline	
 Intro Comments & Overview P&I for Cryogenic Electronics Superconducting resonators Interconnects (Superconducting Flex Cables) Connectors (Cable-to-Cable) 	
 Moving towards Quantum Very brief intro to "quantum" Challenges Approaches Concluding Comments 	
	18



Various Flex Cables Constructed					
	Name	Length	Material type	Thickness	
	Stripline	1 m	Spin-on polyimide	20 µm	
	Stripline	5 cm	Spin-on polyimide	20 µm	
	Microstrip	1 m	Spin-on polyimide	10 µm	
Stripline w/spin-on PI (5 cm)	Microstrip	5 cm	Spin-on polyimide	20 µm	
	Embedded Microstrip	5 cm	Spin-on polyimide	25 µm	
	Microstrip	1 m	Kapton film	50 µm	
	Microstrip	5 cm	Kapton film	50 µm	
Microstrip on Kapton (1 meter) Microstrip on Kapton (1 meter) Embedded Microstrip in spin-on Pl (5 cm) Microstrip on Kapton	 Family of flex different flex Superconduce embedded noversions. Significant and development do Latest 5 cm (resonators and have excelled) 	x cable ble sul cting m nicrostr mount t was/is long st and tra nt yield	es fabricated of bstrates. hicrostrip, ip and striplir of process s involvedm ructures nsmission line	on ne nore to es) 20	

















29

Outline

- Intro Comments & Overview
- P&I for Cryogenic Electronics
 - Superconducting resonators
 - Interconnects (Superconducting Flex Cables)
 - Connectors (Cable-to-Cable)
- Moving towards Quantum
 - Very brief intro to "quantum"
 - Challenges
 - Approaches
- Concluding Comments















Additional Comments

- Other considerations:
 - Mechanical reliability (repetitive flexing, cooling in flexed configuration, ...)
 - Environmental stability (impact of humidity, barrier layers, ...)
 - Thermal cycle reliability (fabrication at elevated temps, then use @ / cycle to/from < 4 K, ...)
 - Maintain positioning when cooled (fiber alignment, ...)

• New packaging & integration technologies:

- Alternative MCM substrates and construction (for better CTE match)
- Suitable materials for die attach and underfill (re-workability?)
- Connectors



<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

Classical vs. Quantum	
Classical: 0 or 1 Switch ON or OFF Capacitor / Node Charged to V Or Not Charged	 Historically, many different device structures and materials systems were (are still being) explored Exponential growth once CMOS and materials were settled upon Si vs. other semi., clean SiO₂, etc. Continued materials advances to support continued growth
• Quantum: 0> and 1>	
$ \psi\rangle = \alpha 0\rangle + \beta 1\rangle$ Superposition & Entanglement $x 0\rangle + 10$ Bloch Sphere Representation	 Many similarities (regarding technology & materials status) Currently, multiple different qubit types/structures and materials are being explored (with massive scaling in mind) Beginning (hoping) to see sustained growth (exponential?) Tremendous number of materials studies and advances are needed (expected)



41

Quantum Challenges

- Quantum states are (usually) delicate:
 - Preserve coherence to maintain superposition / entanglement
 - · Allow unitary operations to manipulate / interact qubits
- Trade-off between *control* and *coherence*.
 - "Control" includes manipulation, interaction, movement, measurement
 - Higher isolation from "environment", longer coherence
- Visualize noise as smearing of location on Bloch sphere
 - · Decoherence destroys quantum information in qubit
- Can think of situation as quality factor of resonator:
 - Q's of loss mechanisms sum as inverse (i.e., $1/Q_{\rm a}$ + $1/Q_{\rm b}$ + $\ldots)$ and overall Q dominated by lowest Q process
 - (Or a bucket with water & holes)
- This is the situation we're in now, exploring what and where the "holes" / loss processes are to reduce the leaks to a level acceptable for quantum error correction. → Scaling!





- Superconducting microwave qubits:
 - 5 GHz photon: 20 μeV
 - Thermal noise @ RT (290 K): 25,000 μeV
 - Thermal noise @ 4 K: 345 μeV
 ~ -193 dBm/Hz
 - Thermal noise @ 10 mK: 0.9 μeV
- ~ -219 dBm/Hz

43

~ -174 dBm/Hz

- · Potentially millions of interconnects*, with high density
- Impedance matching: not necessarily 50 Ω systems, no reflections
- · Control crosstalk and scattering (resonance/mode control in packages)
- High thermal isolation, reduced thermal load, thermalization, attenuation
- Reliability and stability (thermal-cycle)
- Need to eliminate loss into unknown or unclear loss processes through interaction with states in dielectrics (two level system, or TLS), such as surface oxidation or interface states...difficult to passivate.

* Bardin, IEEE MTT-S Webinar, April 13th, 2021.







