

Feed Forward Magazine article peer-review process

Here is an overview of the magazine peer review process. Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

- **Pre Review:**
The Editor reviews new submissions weekly and coordinates the review process. All articles are logged and tracked in a central Publication Tracker.
- **Editorial Review:**
The Editor conducts an initial screening to ensure that each article complies with submission guidelines and meets baseline quality standards. Articles that meet these criteria then undergo a detailed editorial review to assess whether the content aligns with the magazine's focus areas and provides relevant, informative value to readers.
- **Reviewer Selection:**
Each submission is assigned to one or more reviewers based on their area of expertise and alignment with the article's subject matter. Reviewers are experienced professionals, technologists, or academics who have published, reviewed, or worked in the relevant domain.
- **Peer Review Process:**
Reviewers evaluate submissions for technical accuracy, clarity, relevance, originality, and alignment with the magazine's focus areas. They may recommend acceptance, revision, or rejection. Reviewers also provide written feedback to authors when any changes are needed.
- **Editorial Decision:**
Following the peer review, the Editor consolidates the reviewer feedback and determines whether the article is ready for publication, requires further revision, or should be declined.
- **Publication:**
Articles approved after review are marked as *Ready to Publish* in the Publication Tracker and scheduled for inclusion in the upcoming issue.

Feel free to reach out to feedforwardsvcs@ieee.org for any questions.