Pipeline Management

David Kirjassoff DEK Management Consulting dkirjassoff@earthlink.net



- How can a development engineering organization
- Increase the flow of new products
- By taking the perspective of operating a development pipeline?

Pipeline Thinking

- Common for operations/manufacturing (and chemical engineers!)
 - Processes and workflow are visible, and explicit
 - Work flowing through the pipe is routine and repeatable
 - Capacity, throughput, bottlenecks are designed in and managed
 - The pipeline is managed for continuous improvement
- But it hasn't always been that way...



2004 © David Kirjassoff

Pipeline Thinking

- Less common for design/development
 - Process and workflow is invisible, and in a constant state of flux
 - Work flowing in the pipe is highly variable, often indeterminate
 - Capacity, throughput are often secondary considerations
 - Projects are managed for improvement, often the pipeline is not

A Sample Development Pipeline

2004 © David Kirjassoff

Common Development Pipeline Problems

- Good new ideas spoil; waiting for someone to start working on them
- Too many projects for too few resources
- Constantly shifting priorities
- New work slips in unannounced
- Half-baked work is rushed to completion
- Lots of work is sort of on hold, but nothing ever goes away

Pipeline Management

• Definition:

 The process of managing a portfolio of separate development projects across a common group of resources (people, processes, tools)

• Three main focus areas:

- Pipeline Design
 - What do we want our development process to look like, and what capacity do we want to establish?
- Pipeline Operation
 - How do we best operate the pipeline we have, and how do we know how well its working?
- Pipeline Improvement
 - How do we take what we are learning during pipeline operation, and translate it into improvements in pipeline design?

Pipeline Design Considerations

• Length

– Where does the development pipeline start and end?

- Width
 - How many projects do we want to work on at once?
 How much capacity do we need to provide?
- Shape
 - What should the pipeline look like?
 - One pipeline for all, or special purpose pipes?



2004 © David Kirjassoff

Pipeline Length – End Point

Avoid the sustaining engineering black hole



Separate design resources from sustaining resources

 Clearly define the handoff from development to sustaining

Pipeline Width

How many projects do we want to work on at once?

Start Few

Project B

Time

Project C

• Consider two extremes:



Advantages: Start Fewer, Finish Quicker

- Multiple resources involved, easier to deal with resource disruption
- Smaller window to introduce changes or deal with reprioritization
- Easier to forecast customer needs over shorter time horizon
- Cycles of learning for both team development and project content
- Benefits of completed work begin accruing earlier

Pipeline Width

• How much capacity do we need to provide?



Capacity Utilization

100%

What has the greater impact your profitability

- Time-to-market or
- Productivity

2004 © David Kirjassoff

Pipeline Shape

2004 © David Kirjassoff

1

The Pipeline(s) have to Supply the Product Portfolio



Pipeline Operational Considerations

- How do projects get defined and initiated?
- How are priorities established and maintained across pending and active projects?
- How are resources allocated to projects on an initial and ongoing basis?
- How is forward progress tracked and maintained for active projects?
- Let's look at these from both a "getting started" and a best practices perspective

Getting Started

Inventory current projects
Drain the swamp
Prioritize what's left
Get some control on the input

Inventory Current Projects

- Generate a list of all active and on-hold projects
- Identify (or assign) an "owner" for each project
- Ask owners to estimate:
 - Effort remaining (man-hours, calendar time, \$\$)
 - Business benefit (\$\$, H/M/L, etc.)
 - Team members involved (and time %)
 - Current status (active, on-hold, stalled)
 - Obstacles to completion
- Tabulate and circulate summary for review/comment

Inventory Format

Project Name	Description	Status	Effort Remaining	Business Benefit	People Involved	Completion Obstacles
System Upgrade	New call center script system	On-hold	6 man-weeks, \$12,000	High, allows growth with current staffing	System analyst, IT	Pending resources from IT

Drain the Swamp

• Schedule a meeting to review the inventory

- Evaluate the work
- Expedite the easy wins
- Identify what to stop doing



Evaluation Matrix



Prioritize what's left

- Rank order remaining significant projects
- Assign resources in priority order
- Communicate priority across the team
- Establish ground rules for priority:
 - Queues are handled in priority order
 - In case of resource constraints, assign to higher priority projects first

Get control of the pipeline inputs

- Declare a temporary moratorium on initiating new work until inventory process is completed
- Dedicate separate resources to sustaining tasks and projects

Best Practices

Input control Phase gate review process Pipeline control room



2004 © David Kirjassoff

Valve 1 – Good Idea Test

- Establish a process for submitting and collecting ideas for projects
 - Minimize bureaucracy, keep it simple
 - Review ideas frequently, avoid spoilage
- Rank ideas for fit with team strategy, business impact
- Select ideas to pass through the valve
- Estimate resource requirements

Idea Evaluation Matrix



Fits our Charter

Valve 2 - Resources Test

- Note: Periodically review the "good ideas" queue, priorities often shift
- Starting with the top idea, apply the following process



^{2004 ©} David Kirjassoff

Phase Gate Review Process

- Establish a standard set of phases that fit your types of projects
- Maintain a virtual status board showing where each project is in the pipeline
- Conduct reviews of every project in the pipeline as it passes a phase milestone (next slide)
- Allow for "emergency" review any time a project in jeopardy

Phase Review Questions

- Is this project still worth doing?
 - Market/customer needs
 - Opportunity cost
- Are the planned resource requirements on track?
- Are there any anticipated obstacles to completion?

Pipeline Control Room

Pipeline Metrics Development Project Status

Improvement Project Status

2004 © David Kirjassoff

Metrics Wall- Example









2004 © David Kirjassoff

Development Project Status Wall

Idea Queue	Input Queue	Project Priority
•	1.	1. A
•	2.	2. C
•	3.	3. E
•	4.	4. B
	5.	5. D

Project C

Project B

Project A

•Schedule Performance

•Resource Utilization

- By Name
- Hours/month, planned vs. actual

•Development Spending

•Forecast Revenue Plan

Pipeline Improvement Considerations

- How do we identify potential improvements in our pipeline design?
- How do we plan and implement desired improvements?
- How do we allocate resources to improvement work?

Pipeline Improvement Wall

Idea	Input	Active
Queue	Queue	Improvement
•	1.	Project Priority
•	2.	1. A
•	3.	2. C
•	4.	3. B
	5.	

Improvement Project C

Improvement Project B

Improvement Project A

- •Charter/Goals
- •Schedule Performance
- •Resource Utilization
 - By Name
 - Hours/month, planned vs. actual

In Summary

- Teams that manage a portfolio of projects often don't view themselves as managing a production pipeline
- We have a natural tendency to "bite off more than we can chew" which has unintended consequences to productivity
- Controlling the front end of the process generally results in a faster flow of completed work at the back end
- Maintaining a clear and common set of priorities for project queues and active projects can help ensure that at least the high priority work gets through