

Discrete Filter Technology				
Ceramic Filter	Saw, and other acoustic filters			
Insertion LossLow 0.3 to 5.0 dB	Insertion Loss3.0 to 20.0 dB Power Handling CapabilityLow <1 w			
Power Handling Capability Medium 1 to 50 watts				
Physical size12mm, 6mm, 4mm, 3mm diam Freq range ($_{\rm E}$ =90) <.8 <1.5 <2.4 <3GHz	Physical SizeVery Small			
(_€ =36) <1.2 <2.2 <3.6 <5GHz Q (at mid freq) 600 400 300 250	Temperature StabilityGood			
Temperature StabilityVery Good, adjustable	Mechanical StabilityVery Good			
Bandwidth1.5 to 10%	Very Narrow Bandwidth<5%			
But, we are going to look at filters	that can be integrated.			

The Inductor Story - part 1

Seymour Cohn pointed out that:

- 1. Energy Is Stored In The Volume.
- 2. Dissipation Occurs On The Surface of Conductors (lossless dielectric)
- 3. Therefore, Q~ Vol/Area is probably a linear function of diameter, D



As the size of the inductor increases, its Q goes up. Eventually, the inductor gets so large that it begins to be an efficient radiator, or antenna, unless it is shielded. An inductor in a filter could, therefore, be used as an antenna. Its efficiency would depend on its loss resistance and its radiation resistance.

The **50D** \sqrt{f} line does a good job of predicting inductor Q for a family of inductors with wildly different winding parameters, but the same core diameter. The wire was close spaced in some cases, overlapped in others, and very widely spaced, larger diameter for the smallest values. Eventually, the parasitic capacitance of the inductor causes its effective Q to roll off at high frequencies. Smaller coil forms simply scale down Q with size.



Seymour Cohn pointed out that:

- 1. Magnetic energy is stored in the volume.
- 2. Dissipation occurs on the surface of conductors (lossless dielectric)
- 3. Therefore, Q~ Vol/Area is a linear function of diameter, D... NOT L
- 4P. The Q dependence on inductor value is due to the measurement frequency and distributed capacitance, but what about the figure below? Xh(4.5GHz) is calculated from X(.5GHz), and Q~ \sqrt{f}



It is very likely that the Q dependence on inductance seen in published data simply is caused by the fact that larger value inductors were measured at lower frequency. A Q of 4 inductor measured at .5 GHz (X on the graph—50 nH) would be equivalent to a Q of 12 inductor (Xh on the graph—2 nH) measured at 4.5 GHz.



If Only Filters Were Not So Difficult!

Simple Laws Of Physics Determine Filter Performance:

- 1. Energy Is Stored In The Volume.
- 2. Dissipation Occurs On The Conductors And In The Dielectric.
- 3. High Q, High Permeability Material Would Be Nice.
- 4. The Best Active Filters Begin Life As Passive Filters, because:
 - 5. TO A TRANSISTOR, GENERATING J1ma IS THE SAME AS GENERATING 1ma. A Transistor Does Not Store Energy, It Dissipates It.
 - 6. An approach to the design of active filters for noise or power capability will follow.





- An opamp is not necessary. Every device in the loop adds delay, reduces the useable bandwidth
- Fix the problems that the active devices have
- Multiple devices are cheap
- Darlington and cascode raise impedance, gain
- Use negative feedback to reduce sensitivity
- Delay adds negative resistance, narrows bandwidth
- The "inductor" current is provided by an active device
- Any Q greater than 1 will mean that large "reactive" current will flow through the active device.
- Even a low Q passive inductor is better than an active one





The same voltage(V) is across the tuned circuit and the load. Pdiss=V²/R_U, and Pout=V²/R_L: Pout /Pdiss = R_U/R_L (1)

The unloaded Q of the tuned circuit is: Qu = Ru/Xc (2)

After canceling the circuit loss (Ru), the source(R_L)and the load(R_L) equally load the tuned circuit: $Q_L = R_L/2X_C$ (3)

Therefore, substituting (2) and (3) into (1) : Pout /Pdiss = $Q_U / 2Q_L$,

or in dB, $Pdiss = Pout + 10*LOG_{10} (2QL/QU) = Pout + 10*LOG_{10} (QL / QU) + 3dB$ (4)

For both noise figure and power handling, EQN. (4) gives the degradation of performance due to the tuned circuit not being truly lossless, but actively loss cancelled.

NFdB = NF(active device, in circuit) + $10*LOG_{10} (QL/QU) + 3dB$ (5)



Bisecting the circuit makes it clear by inspection that the gate tap does not have to be equal to the drain tap point. The optimum source impedance for noise figure is not likely to be the optimum load impedance for power output. In general, the gate-source will want to be tapped up, that is, at a higher impedance point than the drain-source. Not only does this improve the noise figure, it also raises the available gain, allowing more negative feedback. This improves the intermodulation distortion.



This illustrates the point that the gate-to-gate source impedance can be advantageously raised to improve both noise figure and intercept.





In the upper figure, the monolithically defined, nearly identical negative resistances track one another. The master oscillator is a tuned circuit operating outside the band of the filter, or it could be the local oscillator. Controlling the amplitude of the oscillation sets the negative resistance. The slave filter is loaded by the input and output, and thus is stable, but the negative resistance will raise the effective unloaded resonator Q to infinity.

In the lower figure, as the series coupling varactors change the bandwidth, the shunt varactors re-center the frequency of the filter.



We can see that differential circuits are very closely related to their single-ended cousins. The Hartley and Colpitts oscillators are functionally equivalent, just with a change in the feedback method.

Also, there is no special way to generate a negative Z, any amplifier loop that results in the current flowing in the opposite direction from the element that is assumed to be connected across those terminals does the job. Over a narrow band, an inductor appears to be a negative capacitor. We are trying to make a negative capacitor that operates over a significantly wider bandwidth than a lowly inductor.



NIC FILTER PERFORMANCE (Antique)

٢

	Bandwidth and Center Frequency (MHz)	Noise Figure (db)	Power Outpu 1-dB Compression (dBm)	t Third- Order Intercept (dBm)	Total Variation In gain, 25 C to 60 C
Trial NIC (1970)*	0.6 and 50	17	-20	-11	5.2
2 nd Integrated FET-NIC	0.6 and 50	6 - 7	+12	+23	0.9
High- Power NUNIC Filter	2.7 and 230	>21	+29	+35	0.8

*= 50 Ω impedance, based on standard NIC theory, no attention to noise, power, sensitivity, etc .



Conveniently, the FET chosen had an optimum noise source impedance close to the optimum load for power output of the PNP transistor, and could share the same port, directly across the tuned circuit.

Given Their Limitations, Are Active Filters Useful?
 Active inductors make good low power loads for broadband amplifiers.
 Yes, for small signal, low power, tolerant applications
 In notch filters, they can de-tune themselves - watch out
 Negative resistance can be stabilized with excess gain.
RFIC © Besser Associates

